

Procedural Rule 8.01(b)(1) requires the Appellant to submit a brief supporting his Petition for Review and to “identify with particularity those findings or conclusions in the decision and order that are challenged and... refer to the portions of the record and the provisions of statutes or rules that are alleged to support each assertion made on appeal.”

In the instant matter, Appellant failed to identify with particularity any findings or conclusions in the Hearing Officer’s decision and order that are challenged. Nor did Appellant refer to the portions of the record and the provisions of statutes or rules that are alleged to support each assertion made on appeal. Accordingly, the Board has no grounds to overturn the decision of the Hearing Officer and it is thereby affirmed.¹

ORDER

For the foregoing reasons, the Hearing Officer’s decision is affirmed.
It is so ORDERED.

Issued, Washington, D.C. on September 12, 2013.

¹ In affirming the Hearing Officer’s decision, the Board does not agree with the suggestion that, to establish that the OOC has jurisdiction, a covered employee must provide evidence that a particular claim was included in his or her request for counseling.